



WP 3: review of aims, methods, results, advice

Susan Phillips

Afshin Vafaei

Queen's University, Kingston, Canada





Der Wissenschaftsfonds.



Swedish
Research
Council

Thank you to
our funders. No
conflicts to
declare.



CIHR IRSC

Canadian Institutes of Health Research
Instituts de recherche en santé du Canada



What one looks at determines
what one sees





Intersectionality in quantitative methods

- (i) impact of differential distribution of social factors across the sexes, and;
- (ii) impact of differences in the associations between such social factors and health across sexes.

Study of intersections of sex and social circumstances offers a measure of **gender** .



Methods used in studies on health among middle-aged and older adults

Aim: to inform FUTUREGEN about methods to use in assessing the impact of gender on each WP's outcomes.

Methods: systematic review - >9000 papers, hundreds – full review



Results

- Limited intentional exploration of intersectionality
- Many control for sex
- Some more elaborate methods than regression e.g. multilevel analyses, mediation, SEM, decomposition, but few considered gender
- Only actual (although not potential) consistent approach for getting at gender was sex-segregation of results with whatever method was used.
- Recommendation: try several methods along with sex segregation.



So what?

- FUTUREGEN
 - Funders
 - Journals
 - Policy?
-
- Gendered nature of indicators
 - PhD workshop

